

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/069,469 | 08/12/2002 | | Hans Uwe Faust | 1876 | 3365 |
| 7: | 590 | 03/30/2004 | | EXAM | NER |
| Thomas F Ro | land | | NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS | | |
| National Starch & Chemical 10 Finderne Avenue | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Bridgewater, N | | 07-3300 | 1714 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Occasion | 10/069,469 | FAUST ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Patrick D. Niland | 1714 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 86(a). 'In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Au</u> | iaust 2002 | | | | | | |
| | _ | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allower | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | , -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 17-34 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-34</u> is/are rejected. | 6) Claim(s) 17-34 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | г. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See | 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | , | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | |)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | d in this National Stage | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e t sentence of the specification or |) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro | • • | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | * . | ÷ | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1714

1. The amendment of 8/12/02 has been entered. Claims 17-34 are pending.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 6197874 Irle et al..

Irle et al. discloses in situ polymerization of ethylenically unsaturated monomers, including acrylonitrile, in the presence of a polyurethane made with linear polyethers or polyesters which will necessarily and inherently give the instantly claimed crystallinity to the polyurethane. See the abstract; column 2, lines 20-65; column 3, lines 1-67, particularly 40-50; column 4, lines 1-67, particularly 10 and 35-53; column 5, lines 1-5 and 49-51; column 7, lines 1-5 and 63-67; and the remainder of the document. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed combinations of ingredients having the instantly claimed physical parameters because they are encompassed by the patentee and would have given only predictable results to the ordinary skilled artisan and the polyacrylate would have to have a Tg in the instantly claimed broad range to be film forming at ambient temperatures.

4. Claims 17-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 5854332 Swarup et al..

Application/Control Number: 10/069,469

Art Unit: 1714

Swarup et al. discloses the instantly claimed polymerization of vinyl monomers. including acrylonitrile, in the presence of a polyurethane made with linear polyethers or polyesters which will necessarily and inherently give the instantly claimed crystallinity to the polyurethane. See the abstract; column 1, lines 5-21; column 2, lines 58-67; column 3, lines 1-67, particularly 21-32; column 4, lines 1-67, particularly 40-43 and 59-67; column 5, lines 1-67, particularly 7-29; column 6, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67, particularly 33-38; column 9, lines 1-67, particularly 21-38 and 44-47; column 12, lines 10-27; and the remainder of the document. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed combinations of ingredients having the instantly claimed physical parameters because they are encompassed by the patentee and would have given only predictable results to the ordinary skilled artisan and the polyacrylate would have to have a Tg in the instantly claimed broad range to be film forming at ambient temperatures. The clear coat/basecoat method of the patentee falls within the scope of the instant claims 32-33. The clear coat is plastic and It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to coat a plastic substrate therewith since most cars contain plastic panels these days and clear coat/base coat is most often used on cars. This falls within the scope of the instant claim 34.

5. Claims 17-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 6117936 Kato et al..

Kato discloses the instantly claimed polymerization of vinyl monomers, including acrylonitrile, in the presence of a polyurethane made with linear polyethers or polyesters

Application/Control Number: 10/069,469

Art Unit: 1714

which will necessarily and inherently give the instantly claimed crystallinity to the polyurethane. See the abstract; column 2, lines 20-67; column 3, lines 1-67, particularly 21-32; column 4, lines 1-67, particularly 40-43 and 59-67; column 5, lines 1-67, particularly 7-29; column 6, lines 1-67; column 8, lines 1-67, particularly 33-38; column 9, lines 1-67, particularly 21-38 and 44-47; column 12, lines 10-27; and the remainder of the document. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the instantly claimed combinations of ingredients having the instantly claimed physical parameters because they are encompassed by the patentee and would have given only predictable results to the ordinary skilled artisan and the polyacrylate would have to have a Tg in the instantly claimed broad range to be film forming at ambient temperatures.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 10am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Art Unit: 1714

March 23, 2004

pn

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714